

The Arc High Street Clowne Derbyshire S43 4JY

Date: 4<sup>th</sup> December 2015

Dear Sir or Madam

You are hereby summoned to attend a meeting of the Customer Service and Transformation Scrutiny Committee of the Bolsover District Council to be held in Chamber Suites 1 and 2, The Arc, Clowne on **Monday 14<sup>th</sup> December 2015** at <u>1000</u> hours.

<u>Register of Members' Interest</u> - Members are reminded that a Member must within 28 days of becoming aware of any changes to their Disclosable Pecuniary Interests provide written notification to the Authority's Monitoring Officer.

You will find the contents of the agenda itemised on page 2.

Yours faithfully

Sarah Steuberg

Assistant Director of Governance and Monitoring Officer To: Chairman and Members of the Customer Service and Transformation Scrutiny Committee

## ACCESS FOR ALL

If you need help understanding this document or require a larger print or translation, please contact us on the following telephone number:-

# Image: Construction Constr





### Monday 14<sup>th</sup> December 2015 at 1000 hours in Chamber Suites 1 and 2, <u>The Arc, Clowne</u>

ltem No. Page No.(s)

PART A – FORMAL PART 1 OPEN ITEMS

### 1. Apologies for Absence

### 2. Urgent Items of Business

To note any urgent items of business which the Chairman has consented to being considered under the provisions of Section 100(B) 4(b) of the Local Government Act 1972

#### 3. Declarations of Interest

Members should declare the existence and nature of any Disclosable Pecuniary Interest and Non Statutory Interest as defined by the Members' Code of Conduct in respect of:

- a) any business on the agenda
- b) any urgent additional items to be considered
- c) any matters arising out of those items

and if appropriate, withdraw from the meeting at the relevant time.

4.	Minutes of a meeting held on 16 <sup>th</sup> November 2015.	3 to 8
5.	List of Key Decisions & Items to be Considered in Private.	9 to 12
	(Members should contact the officer whose name appears on the List of Key Decisions for any further information).	
6.	Review of CAN Ranger Service Report	13 to 24
7.	Work Plan	25 to 26

Minutes of a meeting of the Customer Service and Transformation Scrutiny Committee of the Bolsover District Council held in Chamber Suites 1 and 2, The Arc, Clowne on Monday 16<sup>th</sup> November 2015 at 1000 hours.

### **PRESENT:-**

Members:-

### Councillor R.J. Bowler in the Chair

Councillors P.M. Bowmer, C.P. Cooper, M.G. Crane, R. Heffer, A. Joesbury, D. McGregor, J.E. Smith, E. Stevenson and R. Turner.

Also in attendance were Councillors T. Connerton (Portfolio Holder for Customer Services and Revenues & Benefits) (until Minute No. 0534) and M.J. Ritchie (Portfolio Holder for Housing and IT) (from Minute No. 0532)

Officers:-

K. Drury (Information Engagement & Performance Manager) (until Minute No. 0533),
P. Campbell (Assistant Director – Community Safety and Housing (BDC)) (from Minute No. 0534),
D. Bonsor (Housing Needs Manager) (from Minute No. 0534),
P. Coogan (Housing Innovation Officer) (from Minute No. 0534), C. Millington (Scrutiny Officer) and A. Brownsword (Governance Officer)

### 0527. APOLOGIES

There were no apologies for absence.

### 0528. URGENT ITEMS OF BUSINESS

There were no urgent items of business.

### 0529. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

## 0530. **MINUTES** – 19<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2015

Moved by Councillor R. Bowler and seconded by Councillor J.E. Smith **RESOLVED** that the minutes of a meeting of the Customer Service and Transformation Scrutiny Committee held on 19<sup>th</sup> October be approved as a true and correct record.

## 0531. LIST OF KEY DECSIONS AND ITEMS TO BE CONSIDERED IN PRIVATE

Members considered the List of Key Decisions and Items to be Considered in Private document.

Moved by Councillor R. Bowler and seconded by Councillor J.E. Smith **RESOLVED** that the List of Key Decisions and Items to be Considered in Private document be noted.

Councillor M.J. Ritchie entered the meeting.

### 0532. CORPORATE PLAN TARGETS PERFORMANCE UPDATE – JULY TO SEPTEMBER 2015 (Q2 – 2015/16)

The Information Engagement & Performance Manager presented the report which gave information on the quarter 2 outturns for the Corporate Plan 2015-2019 targets. The information was correct as of 30<sup>th</sup> October 2015. Most of the targets were on track.

C09 – Process changes to Housing Benefit and Council Tax Support within an average of 14 days

The department was proposing to reduce the target to 10 days, as they were currently operating under the proposed new target.

C10 – Carry out 300 disability adaptations to Council houses each year

A discussion took place regarding whether the figure was too high and whether there was sufficient budget available. The Portfolio Holder for Housing and IT noted that

the works were part funded by DCC and the target included works such as installing handrails as well as full adaptations.

It was confirmed that adaptations were not removed if the property was relet.

C12 – Ensure a minimum of 50% of clients experiencing domestic violence each year are satisfied with the support they receive

The Portfolio Holder for Housing and IT explained that the Freedom Project ran courses which aimed to give victims of domestic abuse confidence and self esteem. The project had been very successful.

C13 – Reduce average relet times of Council properties (not including sheltered accommodation) to 20 days by March 2019

The Portfolio Holder for Housing and IT noted that due to a more flexible way of working, the target was currently performing well. A discussion took place regarding reporting of information regarding sheltered accommodation. The Information Engagement & Performance Manager noted that figures including sheltered accommodation were included within the report.

C16 – Agree a project with Derbyshire County Council and other stock retaining authorities to deliver alarm monitoring to 12,000 people countywide by April 2016

The Portfolio Holder for Housing and IT noted that due to Derbyshire County Council withdrawing support from the project, the target would not be met by the Council. A new monitoring system was to be introduced and the Corporate Plan Target would be changed.

T05 – Initiate a build programme for the new Clowne leisure facility by 2015 and complete by 2016

It was noted that the project was due to start imminently.

T06 – Introduce alternate uses to 20% of garage sites owned by the Council by March 2019

The Portfolio Holder for Housing and IT noted that sites that were suitable for building had been identified and a full survey had been carried out of all HRA land.

T08 – Fully deliver the electoral changes to District and Parish wards as a result of the Local Government Boundary Commission for England's electoral review by 1<sup>st</sup> December 2018

The Portfolio Holder for Housing and IT noted that although the work had not yet begun, a meeting had been arranged by the Boundary Commission for England in the New Year.

T09 – Reduce the percentage of rent arrears by 10% through early intervention and effective monitoring by 2019

Members expressed their concerns regarding whether the target could be met.

T10 – Reduce the level of Former Tenants Arrears by 10% through early intervention and effective monitoring by 2019

Members expressed their concerns regarding the level of write-offs to help meet the target. It was noted that writing off debt was an accepted financial accounting tool and did not mean that the debts could not be recovered in the future.

Moved by Councillor J.E. Smith and seconded by Councillor M.G. Crane **RESOLVED** that early progress against the Corporate Plan 2015-2019 targets be noted.

The Information Engagement & Performance Manager left the meeting.

### 0533. UPDATE ON THE HOUSING WORKING GROUP

The Portfolio Holder for Housing and IT noted that a report was to be taken to the Executive on 30<sup>th</sup> November 2015.

Moved by Councillor R. Bowler and seconded by Councillor J.E. Smith **RESOLVED** that the report be noted.

The Portfolio Holder for Customer Services left the meeting.

The Assistant Director – Community Safety and Head of Housing (BDC), the Housing Needs Officer and the Housing Innovation Manager entered the meeting.

### 0534. REVIEW OF CHOICE BASED LETTINGS – REVISED HOUSING APPLICATION FORM

The draft revised form and guidance notes were circulated.

The Housing Innovation Officer explained the changes to Members. It was noted that every applicant would be asked to provide references and extra detail in the proof of address page.

Further detail was requested in the current address section. Questions were added regarding Universal Credit which was to be introduced in November. There were also questions regarding key workers and armed forces.

Members felt that the armed forces section needed highlighting and asked how the list of key workers had been defined. The Assistant Director – Community Safety and Head of Housing (BDC) noted that the list was still draft and could be added to, key workers could also include those who worked for certain employers. Members felt that the form needed to be clear that it was only key workers who currently resided outside the District who would be awarded key worker points.

A discussion took place regarding whether notes should be added to the application form or added to the guidance document.

More space was included to provide all addresses lived in for the past 10 years and a discussion took place regarding the equalities monitoring form. It was noted that the equalities monitoring form was the corporate form and used the census categories.

It was noted that the final version of the form would not be available until after the Government's Autumn Statement, as there may be some impact on housing guidance.

Members opinion was also sought regarding the design of the form and guidance document.

Moved by Councillor R. Bowler and seconded by Councillor J.E. Smith **RESOLVED** that any comments on the form or design be forwarded to the Scrutiny Officer by noon on 27<sup>th</sup> November 2015.

(Scrutiny Officer)

### 0535. WORK PLAN

The Customer Service and Transformation Scrutiny Committee Work Plan was circulated for Members information.

Moved by Councillor R. Bowler and seconded by Councillor J.E. Smith **RESOLVED** that the report be noted.

The formal meeting concluded at 1150 hours and members then met as a working party to continue their review work. The working party concluded at 1200 hours.



The Arc High Street Clowne Derbyshire S43 4JY

## Key Decisions & Items to be Considered in Private

## To be made under the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012

Published on: 27 November 2015

### INTRODUCTION

The list attached sets out decisions that are termed as "Key Decisions" at least 28 calendar days before they are due to be taken by the Executive or an officer under delegated powers.

Preparation of the list helps Executive to programme its work. The purpose of the list is to give notice and provide an opportunity for consultation on the issues to be discussed. The list is updated each month with the period of the list being rolled forward by one month and republished. The list is available for public inspection at the The Arc, High Street, Clowne, S43 4JY. Copies of the list can be obtained from Sarah Sternberg, Assistant Director – Governance & Monitoring Officer at this address or by email to sarah.sternberg@bolsover.gov.uk.

The list can also be accessed from the Council's website at www.bolsover.gov.uk. The Executive is allowed to make urgent decisions which do not appear in the list, however, a notice will be published at The Arc and on the Council's website explaining the reasons for the urgent decisions. Please note that the decision dates are indicative and are subject to change.

The names of Executive members are as follows:

Councillor A M Syrett - Leader Councillor M Dooley – Deputy Leader Councillor T Connerton Councillor B R Murray-Carr Councillor K Reid Councillor J Ritchie

The Executive agenda and reports are available for inspection by the public five clear days prior to the meeting of the Executive. The papers can be seen at The Arc at the above address. The papers are also available on the Council's website referred to above. Background papers are listed on each report submitted to the Executive and members of the public are entitled to see these documents unless they contain exempt or confidential information. The report also contains the name and telephone number of a contact officer.

Meetings of the Executive are open to the public and usually take place in the Chamber Suites at The Arc. Occasionally there are items included on the agenda which are exempt and for those items the public will be asked to leave the meeting. This list shows where this is intended and the reason why the reports are exempt or confidential. Members of the public may make representations to the Assistant Director – Governance & Monitoring Officer about any particular item being considered in exempt.

The list does not detail all decisions which have to be taken by the Executive, only "Key Decisions". In these Rules a "Key Decision" means an executive decision, which is likely:

- (1) to result in the Council incurring expenditure which is, or the making of savings which are, significant having regard to the Council's budget for the service or function to which the decision relates; or
- (2) to be significant in terms of its effects on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards in the District.

In determining the meaning of "significant" the Council must have regard to any guidance for the time being issued by the Secretary of State. The Council has decided that income or expenditure of £50,000 or more is significant.

### The dates for meetings of Executive in 2015/2016 are as follows:

2016 4 January 1 February 29 February 4 April 25 April

The Council hereby gives notice of its intention to make the following Key Decisions and/or decisions to be considered in private:

Matter in respect of which a decision will be taken	Decision- maker	Date of Decision	Documents to be considered	Contact Officer	<i>Is this decision a Key Decision?</i>	<i>Is this decision to be heard in public or private session</i>
Refurbishment of Cotton Street property	Executive	January 2016	Report of Councillor A. Syrett, Leader of the Council and Growth Portfolio Holder	Executive Director - Operations	Yes – involves expenditure of £50,000 or more.	Private – relates to the Council's financial or business affairs
To agree costs of refurbishing a Council property on Cotton Street, Bolsover						
Building Control To consider proposals for the service	Executive	January 2016	Report of Councillor A. Syrett, Leader of the Council and Growth Portfolio Holder	Executive Director - Operations	Yes – involves savings or expenditure of £50,000 or more.	Private – relates to the Council's financial or business affairs
Heritage Conservation staffing proposals To consider proposals for the service	Executive	January 2016	Report of Councillor B. Murray-Carr, Portfolio Holder for the Environment	Executive Director - Operations	Yes – involves savings or expenditure of £50,000 or more.	Private – relates to the Council's financial or business affairs
Irrecoverable Arrears over £2,500 To consider write offs of irrecoverable debt	Executive	January 2016	Report of Councillor A. Syrett, Leader of the Council and Growth Portfolio Holder	Executive Director - Operations	No.	Private – relates to the Council's financial or business affairs

## BOLSOVER DISTRICT COUNCIL

## CUSTOMER SERVICE AND TRANSFORMATION SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

## Review of CAN Ranger Service

December 2015

## Contents

## Chair's Foreword

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Recommendations
- 3. Scope of review
- 4. Method of review
- 5. Evidence
- 6. Key findings
- 7. Conclusions

Appendix 1 – Stakeholders engaged during the review

### Foreword of Councillor Rose Bowler Chair of the Customer Service & Transformation Scrutiny Committee

At the Scrutiny Conference earlier this year it was noted that members once again requested a review of the CAN ranger service, therefore the Customer Service and Transformation committee decided to take on this piece of work.

We started our review by looking at why the service was started and to ensure we meet any future demands that may occur. The committee have interviewed Senior Management, Cabinet members and resident groups. We also spoke to all the Rangers over two sessions. The review became quite challenging with the extent of the different tasks they were taking on. There is a strong feeling however amongst members of the committee this service has moved away from some of the original remit and it was felt the need to return to the duties of dealing with Anti Social Behaviour which seems to be again on the increase.

I would like to take the opportunity to thank all officers and Rangers for their contribution to this review, also to Abby Brownsword our Governance Officer and to Claire Millington, Scrutiny Officer for her continued commitment, and thanks to the committee members for their support.

Cllr Rose Bowler

### 1. Introduction

Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 places a statutory responsibility on Local Authorities to address Crime, Disorder and Anti-Social Behavior (ASB). The CAN Ranger Service was set up to contribute towards this statutory duty.

The review was identified during the discussions at the Annual Scrutiny Conference because elected Members felt that the role of the CAN Rangers had evolved since the service was established to the present role and the job description had been changed a number of times over the years. For this reason Members wanted to understand the current demands on the service. Also Members which to consider whether the service was prepared to meet future demands.

It was agreed from the outset that the review would look at the service as a whole from a transformation point of view and that it wasn't within the remit of the Customer Service and Transformation Scrutiny Committee to consider individual Community Safety concerns i.e. how many Fixed Penalty Notices were issued within a certain period of time as these issues were considered in the review of Enforcement carried out by the Safe and Inclusive Scrutiny Committee in 2014.

Members were sensitive to the fact that the Rangers had been the subject of a number of reviews over the past few years and it was made clear to the CAN Rangers that the review wanted to consider the demands on staff time and the support that they received or required.

### 2. Recommendations

2.1 That the Assistant Director of Community Safety and Head of Housing together with the Portfolio Holder for Community Cohesion reiterate role and duties of the CAN Rangers Service with departments and partners, particularly the Police.

Throughout the Review, Members heard concerns that reducing Police budgets and Officer numbers was leading to an increase of situations where people were advised to contact the CAN Rangers instead. The CAN Ranger service is valued by the Authority but there is a danger that it can be abused. Rangers reported that they worked closely with PCSOs but unfortunately, because Bolsover District Council has this service, people who dial 101 are often told to contact the Rangers instead. During the focus groups Members were told, "*The Police are stretched and we do perhaps get calls that we shouldn't be dealing with"*.

Examples of where the Rangers are sent to deal with a matter that actually requires Police attendance include when dealing with nuisance

motorcycles. Rangers advised that they do not have any enforcement powers under S. 59 and therefore can only request that a group or individual move on. "Don't send us to this kind of job when we have no power to deal with the issue when we get there!" or being sent to noise complaints involving large groups of people who have been drinking – which would clearly require the Police to attend at the time the incident was reported. Rangers questioned during the focus groups, "what would happen if we were involved in an accident whilst dealing with a call that shouldn't be our job?" VARM (Vulnerability Assessment/Risk Management) was mentioned during these discussions. As an Authority, it is our duty to ensure that our employees are safe.

#### 2.2 That a set of guidelines and/or a criteria is developed which assists the Rangers and Central Control in assessing the urgency of a job (particularly out of hours calls) where a job is not an emergency and could be left until the following day or if in fact some jobs should be attended at all.

As part of the evidence gathering Members of the Committee considered job logs collected between May – June 2015 to give an example of the variety of work undertaken by the Rangers on both the day and night shifts.

Examples of jobs that Members queried whether or not a Ranger should have been sent out to include;

Radiators too hot. Kevin sorted them out for her. She might ring back in the morning saying she's too cold! (Central Control log) (5.14pm)
Blown Bulbs. (9:54pm)

Rangers themselves commented on some of the jobs that they have attended; "When jobs are passed on, we don't always have an option as we are usually the last stop. People don't know who to forward a job to so it gets sent to us" and a question was asked; are you spread too thin? To which the response was, "Possibly, everyone wants a bit of us".

Although the Job Description details the tasks that the CAN Rangers are required to undertake, Members queried whether some of the jobs that the Rangers were attending (including the examples given above) were above and beyond what Rangers should be expected to do. It is clear that the Rangers take pride in the fact that they can do anything and everything and this attitude towards their work is highly commended. The concern is that this level of service will be expected from Tenants and the CAN Rangers simply don't have the capacity or resources to continuously provide this level of service and nor should it be expected to.

# 2.3 That information be provided to Tenants which provides details and examples on what is classed as an emergency and what calls will be dealt with as part of the out of hours service.

This recommendation follows as a result of recommendation 2.2. Members would like to see information to tenants published in an appropriate way which sets out the service tenants can expect from the Authority including what should be dealt with as an emergency.

### 2.4 That the Assistant Director of Community Safety and Head of Housing be asked to consider whether a contribution from the Community Safety Budget can be made towards the CAN Rangers Service.

Rangers are involved in many of the Community Safety Partnership (CSP) activities including, Crime Cracking Events, Winter Safety and 'have your say' consultation days. Rangers are also involved in diversionary activities with the Police.

Because the Rangers are out and about within the Community, a lot of local intelligence is provided to the Community Safety Partnership through the Tasking Meetings with the Police and the Crime Theme Groups. Rangers are considered a valued part of the Community.

Members were advised by the Community Safety Officer that the Community Safety Partnership received £25,000.00 from the Police and Crime Commissioner each year for initiatives that link to the Countywide Police and Crime Plan.

Members were of the opinion that as the CAN Rangers carried a proportion of work on behalf of the Community Safety Partnership then it should be considered whether there is sufficient budget to contribute towards the CAN Rangers service. The CAN Ranger budget is separate and does not from part of the Community Safety Partnership Budget.

# 2.5 That the CAN Rangers uniform include high visibility jackets so that it is clear to Members of the Public when there is a Ranger present in an area.

Members recalled that the Rangers uniform had included high visibility clothing. The current black uniform was smart but Elected Members and the Tenants and Residents involved in discussions agreed that the Rangers should be instantly recognisable and visible which should include the use of high visibility jackets.

# 2.6 That a clear Training Record be developed which highlights dates that training is undertaken and dates of when the training needs to be renewed or updated.

Training has been the subject of many discussions during the course of the review and it was confirmed that there was no set training programme.

Training tended to be arranged as and when to include Lone Working, First Aid and Housing Training and was raised through the appraisal process. Rangers commented that they would welcome a regular training programme.

Having a training record in place would ensure that staff were up to date on required training which was particularly important for the CAN Rangers and the range of expertise required to carry out their role.

## 2.7 That the levels of staffing in the CAN Rangers Service be regularly monitored to ensure that the service is coping with current demand.

During the focus groups with the Rangers, Members expressed concerns about the potential for one Ranger to be covering the nightshift alone including when the other Ranger called in sick or was on annual leave. (Currently 2 Rangers work the night shift together – covering the whole of the District).

Whilst there are no concerns with staffing currently, having 8 Rangers doesn't allow for much flexibility or cover if a Ranger due to work the nightshift was unable to for any reason. Members asked, "Are 8 Rangers enough?" to which the response was, "When we had 10 there was more continuity in the shift pattern and move cover/flexibility for annual leave".

Rangers confirmed that they enjoy the elements of Housing work particularly the 'out of hours' work. By attending out of hours calls rather than Tomlinsons (the contractors) being called out, between April and September 2015, approximately £1,710.00 was saved. (Tomlinsons out of hours call out fee was £57.00).

There has been an increased demand for the Rangers experienced in Shirebrook this year, mostly due to Community Cohesion issues and events taking place. Rangers did comment that the fact that they don't speak Polish is often a barrier and the Community Cohesion Officer is not always available. Also, a Public Spaces Protection Order (PSPO) had been implemented on 4<sup>th</sup> November 2015 which covered specific areas of Shirebrook and Langwith for a duration of three years. This will inevitably increase the demands upon the CAN Rangers time in enforcing the PSPO over this period. The Council may choose to recruit a new employee with

specific community language(s) skills as a genuine occupational requirement under S.159 of the Equality Act 2010 if this level of demand continues. Some language training could be arranged for all staff in the meantime.

Finally Members heard that one Member of the Rangers team would turn 65 in the next 12 months and whilst workforce planning was an issue in all departments, the amount of training and expertise required for this particular role meant that this should be planned in accordingly.

### 3. Scope of the review

The aim of the review was to identify whether the current CAN Ranger Service was the most appropriate and cost effective way of delivering services to our Communities.

The objectives of the review were;

- To compare the job description/role from when the service was first established to the present role.
- To understand how the authority discharges its duty under Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 and how this compares to other authorities.
- To ascertain the involvement of Parish Councils in Community Safety and their duties under the Crime and Disorder Act.
- To consider the Budget for the service.

#### The Committee comprised the following Members,

Councillors;

Rose Bowler (Chair) Pauline Bowmer Malcolm Crane Andrew Joesbury Emma Stevenson Jim Smith (Vice Chair) Paul Cooper Ray Heffer Duncan McGregor Rita Turner

Support to the Committee was provided by the Scrutiny Officer and the Governance Officer.

### 4. Method of review

The Committee met on four occasions to consider the scope of the review, key issues they wanted to discuss and the people they wished to interview.

The Committee sought evidence by way of questioning Officers, Rangers and local tenants and residents. Each Committee Member was tasked with contacting a neighbouring Authority to discuss how other Local Authorities discharged their duties under Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act and asked questions on enforcement powers. Parish Councils were also asked similar questions by email to which 12 of the 16 Parish Councils in Bolsover District provided a response.

Attached at **Appendix A** is a list of stakeholders involved in the review.

### Equality and Diversity

Within the process of the review, the committee has taken into account the impact of equalities and the Improvement Officer has provided guidance and advice on the draft report.

### 5. Evidence

The following evidence was considered as part of the review:

- Citizens Panel results and analysis July 2004 (Community Action Network or CAN Rangers)
- Verbal evidence from Officers and Portfolio Holders (please refer to **Appendix A** for the details of officers involved.)
- Job Descriptions dated from 2000 up to 2014
- Budget information
- Telephone interviews with neighboring authorities
- Questions to Parish Councils

## 6. Key findings

The CAN Ranger Service budget for 2014/15 was £310,628.00. This budget is split between the General Fund and the Housing revenue Account as follows;

General Fund -25% = £77,657.00Housing Revenue Account -75% = £232,971.00 Members were advised that the 2015/16 budget had reduced to £224,000.00 as a result of salary savings, split as follows;

General Fund  $-25\% = \text{\pounds}56,000.00$ Housing Revenue Account  $-75\% = \text{\pounds}168,000.00$ 

The Housing Revenue Account budget provides services to Council House Tenants.

The CAN Rangers are line managed by the Housing Enforcement Officer who reports to the Assistant Director of Community Safety and Head of Housing.

When questioned about the safety of the CAN Rangers when working alone or at night, the Housing Enforcement Manager confirmed that all Rangers have received Lone Worker training, they have a mobile phone and out of hours could contact Central Control and there are trackers fitted in all vans.

When drafting the report Members agreed that the service should go 'back to basics' and carry out the duties that the Rangers were initially set up to do. Members considered the most up to date Job Description dated 4<sup>th</sup> February 2014 and agreed that this clearly stated what the Rangers should be doing, however, as the Rangers were asked to be involved with more and more jobs and tasks, there was less time for the 'basics' as set out in the Job Description.

Concerns were expressed throughout the duration of the review that the Rangers had become reactive and by moving the emphasis back to the duties set out in the Job Description, this should mean that more time and effort could be freed up for some of the activities and initiatives that made the service proactive.

When asked about their vision for the service in future, Rangers came up with the following:-

- More proactive
- Still providing a good service
- Ensuring that communities understand the service we provide
- Ensuring that those citizens that we don't get to engage with (those that don't cause any trouble or issues) understand what we do and the benefit of the service to communities.
- Better working relationship with PCSOs (as we have in the past)
- Be the best we can and provide best service we can.

There was a sense that the line of supervision wasn't always clear and that no one was 'fighting the Rangers' corner' in respect of control over the jobs and tasks that they were being asked to do. It is hoped that the recommendations made will help to address some of these issues. To ensure that the Committee had a complete picture, questions were asked to Parish Councils and neighbouring Authorities regarding the discharge of their duties under Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 which states that all relevant authorities – which includes town and parish councils – have a duty to consider the impact of all their functions and decisions on crime and disorder in their local area.

12 of the 16 Parish Councils in Bolsover District responded to the questions which focused on how Anti-Social Behaviour was addressed across the District. It emerged from this consultation and discussions with Officers that there are still two Parish Councils who contribute a sum of money to Bolsover District Council for 'subscription to the CAN Ranger Service' there is also one GP in the District that contributes. This is an historical payment where most Parish Councils and some GPs contributed towards the service when it was first set up. Over the years, Parish Councils and GPs have stopped their contributions.

Members of the Committee would like this issue to be highlighted as these contributions are received in the belief that a service is provided in return. When questioned, the Housing Enforcement Officer advised that the GP/Parish Councils do <u>not</u> receive a greater level of service than any other Parish within the District and it appears improper that the Authority still accepts this contribution on an annual basis.

A range of examples of how other Authorities managed Community Safety and Anti-Social Behaviour were received from 5 of our neighbouring authorities.

### 7. Conclusions

The CAN Rangers Service is an asset to Bolsover District Council and everyone involved in the review agreed that it would be a major loss to the Authority if the Service was reduced or withdrawn.

The Community issues in our towns will not disappear overnight and our Rangers play a major role in maintaining safer communities.

Council tenants appreciate the fact that the Rangers attend out of hours calls, meaning that urgent jobs are dealt with quickly and saving the Authority money in the process.

We need to ensure that the Rangers receive the support and training they require to continue to do their job and provide the expected level of service whilst dealing with demands for the service that will continue to increase in future.

### **APPENDIX A**

#### Stakeholders

Councillor Karl Reid

**Councillor John Ritchie** 

Peter Campbell

**Deborah Whallett** 

Anthony Stewart

Brian Stray

Chris Lindley

David Baddams

Jo Wilson

Kevin Higgins

Simon Winder

Tim White

Lynne Cheong

**Tenants and Residents** 

Tenants and Residents

#### Parish Council Consultees

Barlborough Parish Council Blackwell Parish Council Clowne Parish Council Elmton with Creswell Parish Council Langwith Parish Council Old Bolsover Town Council Pinxton Parish Council Pleasley Parish Council Scarcliffe Parish Council South Normanton Parish Council Tibshelf Parish Council Whitwell Parish Council Portfolio Holder – Community Cohesion, Audit, Legal and Governance

Portfolio Holder – Housing and IT

Joint Assistant Director – Community Safety and Head of Housing

Housing Enforcement Manager

CAN Ranger

CAN Ranger

CAN Ranger

CAN Ranger

**CAN Ranger** 

CAN Ranger

**CAN Ranger** 

**CAN Ranger** 

Improvement Officer

**Bolsover Tenants Panel** 

Carr Vale Residents Group

#### **District Council Consultees**

Amber Valley Borough Council Ashfield District Council Erewash Borough Council Mansfield District Council North East Derbyshire District Council

## Customer Service and Transformation Scrutiny Committee

## Work Programme – 2015-16

Date of Meeting	Items	Lead Officer	Notes	
3 <sup>rd</sup> June 2015	<ul> <li>Managing a Scrutiny Review – CfPS skills briefing</li> <li>Selection of Scrutiny Review subject</li> <li>Scoping Scrutiny Review</li> </ul>	Claire Millington, Scrutiny Officer Claire Millington Claire Millington		
29 <sup>th</sup> June 2015, 10.00am	Impacts of Welfare Reforms Scrutiny review update	Jane Foley, JAD – Customer Service & Improvement/Alison Donohoe, Customer Contact Manager		
	<ul> <li>Hard to Let Scrutiny review update on recommendations</li> <li>Review work</li> </ul>	Councillor John Ritchie		
27 <sup>th</sup> July 2015	<ul> <li>Introduction to Corporate Plan Targets.</li> <li>Work Plan</li> </ul>	Jane Foley, Joint Assistant Director – Customer Service & Improvement		
21 <sup>st</sup> September 2015	Call in of Executive minute no. 0193 – Choice Based Lettings 2015 Review	Diane Bonsor, Housing Needs Manager		
19 <sup>th</sup> October 2015	<ul> <li>Review work</li> <li>Update on the work of the Housing Working Group</li> </ul>	Councillor Rose Bowler		

16 <sup>th</sup> November 2015	Half year Corporate Plan     Targets Performance Update	Kath Drury/Jane Foley	Half year update as the Corporate Plan was only agreed in July 2015.
	Housing Application Form	Pam Coogan, Housing Innovation Officer/Di Bonsor, Housing Needs Manager	
14 <sup>th</sup> December 2015	<ul> <li>Draft Report – Review of CAN Rangers</li> </ul>	Claire Millington, Scrutiny Officer	To approve the draft report for submission to the Executive in January 2016.
18 <sup>th</sup> January 2016	•		
15 <sup>th</sup> February 2016	Quarter 3 Performance Update	Kath Drury – Information, Engagement and Performance manager/Jane Foley – JAD – Customer Service & Improvement	
14 <sup>th</sup> March 2016	•		
18 <sup>th</sup> April 2016	•		
23 <sup>rd</sup> May 2016	Quarter 4 Performance Update	Kath Drury – Information, Engagement and Performance manager/Jane Foley – JAD – Customer Service & Improvement	